NTN Knowledge and Thinking Rubric for ELA Analysis, Grade 10
The ability to reason, problem-solve, develop sound arguments or decisions, and create new ideas by using appropriate sources and applying the knowledge and skills of a discipline.



|  | EMERGING  | E/D | DEVELOPING  | D/P | PROFICIENT<br>11 <sup>th</sup> Grade Ready   | P/A | ADVANCED<br>11 <sup>th</sup> Grade Level  |
|--|---|-----|---|-----|--|-----|---|
| ARGUMENT What is the evidence that the student can develop an argument?  | <ul> <li>Argument is unclear, underdeveloped, or implied</li> <li>Claims are summaries or come directly from a source text</li> <li>Discussion of questions, or counterclaims (when appropriate) is unclear or absent</li> <li>Connections and conclusions are unclear or absent</li> </ul> |     | <ul> <li>Makes a somewhat clear, but general argument that reflects passive reading or thinking</li> <li>Makes unclear or irrelevant claims</li> <li>Briefly alludes to a question or counterclaim</li> <li>Draws superficial connections or conclusions</li> </ul> |     | <ul> <li>Makes a clear, well developed argument that demonstrates engaged reading and critical thinking</li> <li>Makes relevant claims</li> <li>Acknowledges relevant questions or counterclaim when appropriate</li> <li>Draws general or broad conclusions</li> </ul>  |     | Makes a clear, well developed argument that demonstrates engaged reading and original critical thinking     Makes relevant claims that support the argument     Discusses relevant questions or counterclaims when appropriate     Makes specific connections and draws meaningful conclusions  |
| EVIDENCE What is the evidence that the student can support the argument? | <ul> <li>Evidence presented does<br/>not connect to argument</li> <li>Makes no reference to<br/>the author, main<br/>character or narrator's<br/>point of view or purpose<br/>in a text</li> </ul>  |     | <ul> <li>Refers to limited textual evidence (reasons, examples, or quotations) relevant to argument</li> <li>Briefly notes the author, main character or narrator's point of view or purpose in a text</li> </ul>   |     | <ul> <li>Refers to textual evidence<br/>(reasons, examples, and<br/>quotations) relevant to argument</li> <li>Determines the author, main<br/>character or narrator's point of<br/>view or purpose in a text and its<br/>impact on overall meaning</li> </ul>  |     | Refers to detailed textual evidence (reasons, examples, and quotations) relevant to argument     Evaluates the author, main character or narrator's point of view or purpose in a text and its impact on overall meaning  |
| ANALYSIS What is the evidence that the student can analyze evidence?     | Demonstrates minimal or misunderstanding of text(s)     Ideas and/or claims are missing, presented haphazardly, or do not connect to the main argument     Makes no reference to author's choices to support central ideas or claims  |     | Demonstrates a basic, surface-level understanding of text(s)     Summarizes but does not analyze or evaluate ideas or claims     Refers to at least one author's choice, but connection to central ideas or claims is unclear                                       |     | <ul> <li>Demonstrates in-depth understanding of at least once aspect of the text(s) including both explicit and inferred meanings</li> <li>Summarizes and attempts to analyze one central idea or claim</li> <li>Discusses at least one author's choice (e.g., language use, literary/rhetorical devices, organization) that supports central ideas or claims</li> </ul> |     | Demonstrates in-depth and comprehensive understanding of text(s), including both explicit and inferred meanings     Analyzes the central ideas or sequence of events and their development over the course of the text(s)     Analyzes key choices made by the author (e.g., language use, literary/rhetorical devices, organization) that supports central ideas or claims |